

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01      SOFIA 01351 01 OF 02 101833Z  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 HA-05 VO-05 CA-01 CIAE-00 FBIE-00  
INSE-00 NSAE-00 ICA-11 H-01 /036 W  
-----075619 101847Z /45 12  
P 100600Z JUL 78  
FM AMEMBASSY SOFIA  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4875

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 1 OF 2 SOFIA 1351

C O R R E C T E D C O P Y-TEXT (PARAS 1. & 2.)

E.O. 11652: GDS  
TAGS: SHUM, CVIS (KOLEVA, IVA YANCHEVA), BU  
SUBJECT: DIVIDED FAMILY CASE: IVA KOLEVA

REF: A) SOFIA 959; B) SOFIA 382; C) 77 SOFIA 1941  
1. CONOFF DISCUSSED KOLEVA CASE JUNE 20 WITH BULGARIAN  
LAWYER FROM THE BULGARIAN LAW OFFICE FOR FOREIGN LEGAL  
MATTERS IN AN ATTEMPT TO DEVISE A CREDIBLE STRATEGY FOR  
POINTS RAISED REF A, PARA 2. EMBASSY HAS RECEIVED NO WORD  
FROM KOLEV'S RELATIVES IN SEVLIEVO, THE COURT, OR THE KOLEVS  
THEMSELVES SINCE FEBRUARY. THUS, DISCUSSION WITH LAWYER WAS  
BASED ON STATUS OF CASE AS OF FEBRUARY COURT HEARING DATE.

2. THE QUESTION OF IVA'S PASSPORT APPLICATION REMAINS A  
MAIN OBSTACLE TO THE SUCCESSFUL RESOLUTION OF THE KOLEVA  
CASE. IT WAS THE LAWYER'S BELIEF THAT THE KOLEVS COULD  
EXECUTE A PASSPORT APPLICATION ON IVA'S BEHALF AT THE  
BULGARIAN EMBASSY IN WASHINGTON OR, ALTERNATIVELY, COULD  
PROVIDE A POWER OF ATTORNEY TO AN INDIVIDUAL IN BULGARIA  
IN ORDER TO FILE THE APPLICATION AT THE LOCAL PASSPORT  
IN SEVLIEVO. THE LAWYER REVIEWED THE REQUIREMENTS FOR  
FILING BULGARIAN PASSPORT APPLICATIONS JUNE 20. THE EMBASSY  
HAS SUBSEQUENTLY LEARNED THAT, CONTRARY TO OUR PREVIOUS  
UNDERSTANDING, BULGARIAN PASSPORT REGULATIONS, WHILE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02      SOFIA 01351 01 OF 02 101833Z

REQUIRING THAT PASSPORT APPLICATIONS BE FILED PERSONALLY,  
DO NOT REQUIRE THE PASSPORT APPLICATION TO BE NOTARIZED  
BEFORE A BULGARIAN OFFICIAL. HOWEVER, A PROPERLY AUTHENTI-  
CATED AFFIDAVIT OF SUPPORT MUST ACCOMPANY EACH APPLPCATION.

3. EMBASSY SUGGESTS THE FOLLOWING POSSIBLE MEANS OF  
PRESENTING A VALID PASSPORT APPLICATION TO THE BULGARIAN

AUTHORITIES ON BEHALF OF IVA. THE FIRST ALTERNATIVE WOULD BE FOR THE KOLEVS, ACCOMPANIED BY THEIR LAWYER AND, IF NECESSARY, DEPARTMENT OFFICER FROM EUR/EE, TO PERSONALLY FILE THE PASSPORT APPLICATION AT THE BULGARIAN EMBASSY IN WASHINGTON. IF THE KOLEVS DO NOT WANT TO GO PERSONALLY TO THE BULGARIAN EMBASSY THEN WE SUGGEST THAT THEY FILE THE APPLICATION BY REGISTERED MAIL WITH THE BULGARIAN EMBASSY IN WASHINGTON AND SEND, AT THE SAME TIME, A SECOND APPLICATION DIRECTLY TO THE PASSPORT AUTHORITIES IN SEVLIEVO. STILL ANOTHER ALTERNATIVE WOULD BE FOR THE KOLEVS TO FORWARD AN APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT, ALONG WITH LFE APPROPRIATE POWER OF ATTORNEY, TO JANCO KOLEV'S PARENTS FOR THEIR USE IN PERSONALLY FILING IVA'S PASSPORT APPLICATION. EMBASSY IS UNABLE TO JUDGE ADEQUATELY THE ADVISABILITY OF THIS COURSE OF ACTION GIVEN THE ABSENCE OF COMMUNICATION WITH THE KOLEV FAMILY (JANCO'S RELATIVES) IN SEVLIEVO AND OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THEIR APPARENT HESITANCE TO BECOME ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN CASE. EACH OF THE ABOVE POSSIBILITIES REQUIRES THE ACTIVE PARTICIPATION OF THE KOLEVS, SOMETHING THAT THE EMBASSY VIEWS AS MOST IMPORTANT SINCE THIS CASE IS TRULY THE RESULT OF A FAMILY DISPUTE. NONETHELESS, AND ONLY TO BE CONSIDERED AS A LAST RESORT, THERE REMAINS THE ALTERNATIVE THAT THE EMBASSY ITSELF COULD PRESENT A PASSPORT APPLICATION FOR IVA DIRECTLY TO MFA FOR CONSIDERATION. ANY EMBASSY PRESENTATION OF KOLEVA PASSPORT APPLICATION TO MFA WOULD BE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03      SOFIA 01351 01 OF 02 101833Z

DONE WITH REFERENCE TO A REQUEST TO THE EMBASSY FROM KOLEVS' LAWYER AS WELL AS THE GOB'S CLEAR AVOWAL (E.G. MARCH 1977 STATEMENT BY DCM KONSTANTINOV OF BULGARIAN EMBASSY IN WASHINGTON, REF C) THAT EXIT PERMISSION FOR IVA HAS BEEN GRANTED AND THAT THE ONLY OUTSTANDING REQUIREMENT IS THE FILING OF HER PASSPORT APPLICATION. THUS, EMBASSY PRESENTATION OF APPLICATION TO MFA WOULD BE PREDICATED UPON OUR SINCERE DESIRE, OPENLY SHARED BY THE GOB, THAT THE KOLEVA CASE BE SUCCESSFULLY RESOLVED.

4. CONOFF, DURING JULY 7 CALL ON BORIS POPOV, MFA CONSULAR DEPARTMENT OFFICER IN CHARGE OF DIVIDED FAMILY QUESTIONS, WAS DRAWN INTO DISCUSSION OF KOLEVA CASE. POPOV CLEARLY EXPRESSED GOB PERCEPTION THAT KOLEVA CASE NOT HUMANITARIAN CASE BUT ONE BASICALLY INVOLVING A FAMILY DISPUTE BETWEEN BULGARIAN CITIZENS AND, THEREFORE, ONE IN WHICH THE EMBASSY

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01   SOFIA 00002 100936Z  
ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 HA-05 VO-05 CA-01 CIAE-00 FBIE-00  
INSE-00 NSAE-00 ICA-11 H-01 /036 W  
-----071179 101012Z /12

P 100600Z JUL 78  
FM AMEMBASSY SOFIA  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 4876

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 2 OF 2 SOFIA 1351

HAS NO BASIS FOR INTERVENTION. POPOV DENIED THAT THE SEVLIEVO COURT PROCEEDING HAD BEEN INITIATED BY THE COURT ITSELF AND INSISTED THAT IVA'S GRANDMOTHER INSTIGATED THE COURT HEARING IN AN ATTEMPT TO WIN CUSTODY OF THE CHILD. POPOV SEEMED SINCERELY PUZZLED BY CONOFF'S ASSERTION THAT GOB HAD GRANTED EXIT PERMISSION TO IVA AND THAT SHE HAD ONLY TO HAVE A PASSPORT APPLICATION FILED ON HER BEHALF IN ORDER TO DEPART BULGARIA TO JOIN HER PARENTS BUT STATED THAT HE WOULD CHECK INTO CONOFF'S ASSERTION THAT SUCH PERMISSION HAD BEEN REPEATEDLY DECLARED TO HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY GOB IN PAST. POPOV'S LACK OF FAMILIARITY WITH SPECIFICS IN SEVERAL OTHER CASES DISCUSSED AT MEETING (SEPTTEL) INDICATES THAT GOB MAY NOT HAVE ITS POSITION WELL IN HAND ON KOLEVA CASE. NONETHELESS, THE GOB STRONGLY BELIEVES, AND HAS SO ARGUED, THAT THE KOLEVA CASE IS A BULGARIAN MATTER AND THE USG HAS NO LEGITIMATE INTEREST IN CASE. NONETHELESS, CONOFF STRONGLY EXPRESSED US INTEREST IN KOLEVA CASE IN DIVIDED FAMILY CONTEXT, CITING CONGRESSIONAL INTEREST, AND POINTED OUT GOB'S OWN STATEMENTS CONCERNING APPROVAL FOR IVA'S EXIT PERMISSION.

5. EMBASSY BELIEVES KOLEVS SHOULD USE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT GOB AUTHORITIES WITH PASSPORT APPLICATION FOR IVA BY ONE OR MORE MEANS DESCRIBED IN PARA 3. IN CONVERSATIONS WITH LAWYER AS WELL AS MFA CONSULAR DEPARTMENT OFFICIAL, CONOFF GIVEN TO UNDERSTAND THAT POSTPONEMENT OF AUGUST 31 HEARING UNLIKELY. HOWEVER, IF GOB IS PRESENTED WITH VALID  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02   SOFIA 00002 100936Z

KOLEVA PASSPORT APPLICATION PRIOR TO HEARING, IT IS CONCEIVABLE THAT GOB WILL ACT TO APPROVE APPLICATION. IN ANY CASE, APPLICATION REPRESENTS KOLEVS' OWN ACTIVE INVOLVEMENT IN CASE IN BULGARIA AND GIVES EMBASSY SOME BASIS FOR DISCUSSING CASE WITH MFA IN LIGHT MORE FAVORABLE TO KOLEVS.

ONCE AGAIN, WE MUST POINT OUT THAT KOLEVA CASE CENTERS ON A FAMILY DISPUTE AND COULD BE RESOLVED IF PARENTS AND GRANDMOTHER WERE TO SINCERELY TRY TO WORK OUT AMICABLE SETTLEMENT. SECONDLY, KOLEVA CASE REMAINS A DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN BULGARIAN CITIZENS AND THE GOB, WITH THE EMBASSY HAVING ONLY A VERY TENUOUS BASIS FOR INTERVENTION.

6. NECESSARY PASSPORT APPLICATION FORMS AND TAX STAMPS HAVE BEEN OBTAINED BY EMBASSY AND WILL BE HAND-CARRIED TO DEPARTMENT (EUR/EE) ON JULY 10 BY DEPARTING EMBOFF SIMPSON. APPLICATIONS WHICH WOULD BE FILED IN BULGARIA (PER PARA 3) SHOULD BE FORWARDED BY AIR POUCH TO EMBASSY UNDER COVER LETTER FROM KOLEVS' U.S. CITIZEN LAWYER REQUESTING EMBASSY ASSISTANCE IN FORWARDING KOLEVA APPLICATION DUE TO UNCERTAINTIES OF THE INTERNATIONAL MAIL SYSTEM. AN APPROPRIATE COVER LETTER TO THE SEVLIEVO PASSPORT OFFICE WRITTEN BY THE KOLEVS SHOULD BE INCLUDED WITH LETTER FROM KOLEVS' LAWYER TO EMBASSY. THE SAME SHOULD BE DONE FOR ANY APPLICATION, AFFIDAVIT, AND POWER OF ATTORNEY TO BE SENT TO KOLEV'S PARENTS. THIS APPROACH WOULD PROVIDE A BASIS FOR EMBASSY REBUTTAL OF POSSIBLE SUBSEQUENT GOB OBJECTION THAT THE EMBASSY OR DEPARTMENT IMPROPERLY INTERVENED IN A MATTER INVOLVING THE BULGARIAN GOVERNMENT AND ITS CITIZENS.

7. EMBASSY REQUESTS DEPARTMENT CONTACT KOLEVS AFTER RECEIPT OF MATERIALS VIA SIMPSON WITH AIM TO EXPEDITE PREPARATION OF APPLICATIONS AND AUTHENTICATED AFFIDAVITS. SIMILARLY, IT IS IMPORTANT THAT THE KOLEVS UNDERSTAND THAT THEY, TOO, CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03    SOFIA 00002 100936Z

MUST ACTIVELY PURSUE THEIR OWN INTEREST IN THIS CASE AND NOT RELY SOLELY ON DEPARTMENT AND EMBASSY EFFORTS ON THEIR BEHALF. EMBASSY WOULD ALSO APPRECIATE ANY INFORMATION THE

KOLEVS MIGHT BE ABLE TO PROVIDE CONCERNING THEIR COMMUNICATIONS WITH THEIR FAMILY IN SEVLIEVO, WITH THE COURT-APPOINTED LAWYER, OR WITH THE COURT ITSELF. AS INDICATED ABOVE, EMBASSY HAS RECEIVED NO NEW INFORMATION SINCE FEBRUARY COURT HEARING.  
GARTHOFF

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 jan 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** PASSPORTS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 10 jul 1978  
**Decaption Date:** 01 jan 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 20 Mar 2014  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1978SOFIA01351  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D780283-1123  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** SOFIA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1978/newtext/t19780777/aaaacmsk.tel  
**Line Count:** 214  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 375a6078-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 78 SOFIA 959, 78 SOFIA 382, 77 SOFIA 1941  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 18 may 2005  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 2059412  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** DIVIDED FAMILY CASE: IVA KOLEVA  
**TAGS:** SHUM, CVIS, BU, (KOLEVA, IVA YANCHEVA)  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/375a6078-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Sheryl P. Walter  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
20 Mar 2014  
**Markings:** Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014